

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/639,908 | 08/16/2000 | Raymond Anthony Joao | RJ251 | 3583 |
| 75 | 90 06/02/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| Raymond A Joao Esq | | | CAMPEN, KELLY SCAGGS | |
| 122 Bellevue Place Yonkers, NY 10703 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 3624 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 09/639,908 | JOAO, RAYMOND ANTHONY | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Art Unit | | | |
| | Kelly Campen | 3624 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the cause the application to become ABANDO | e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8/12/03, 11/28/03, and 3/22/04</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42,61-66,68-71,73-79,81-89 and 91-104</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42,61-66,68-71,73-79,81-89 and 91-104</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri | | eived in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | , , , , | nived | | | |
| occ the attached detailed office action for a fix | st of the defining copies not rece | ivou. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summ | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | Paper No(s)/Mai | il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | Processor (1. 1. 2. 1. 2.) | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office | Action Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 29 | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/639,908

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 42,61-66, 68-79, 81, 83-89, 91-104 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ray et al. (US 6018722) as applied in the prior office action. See prior office action for specific citations and reasoning.

Specifically as to claim 100, see rejection for claim94.

Specifically as to claim 101, see rejection for claim 42.

Specifically as to claim 102, see rejection for claim 68.

Specifically as to claim 103, see rejection for claim 73.

Specifically as to claim 104, see rejection for claim 83.

Application/Control Number: 09/639,908

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

Claim 82 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ray et al. (US

6018722) as applied in the prior office action. See prior office action for specific citations and

reasoning.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendments have overcome the 112 second paragraph rejections.

Applicant's amendments have overcome the objections to the claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/12/03, 11/28/03 and 3/22/04 have been fully considered but

they are not persuasive.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/639,908

Art Unit: 3624

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Campen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ksc

Vines Milla.

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600